



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,100	08/23/2004	Paul Wayne Nagy	04920	5099
23688	7590	04/30/2007	EXAMINER	
Bruce E. Harang			STERLING, AMY JO	
PO BOX 872735				
VANCOUVER, WA 98687-2735				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3632	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/711,100	NAGY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Amy J. Sterling	3632	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 April 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

### **DETAILED ACTION**


This is the **Final Office Action** for application number 10/711,100 Radio Bin Spacer, filed on 8/23/04. Claims 1-17 are pending. This **Final Office Action** is in response to applicant's reply dated 4/4/07. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Applicant's amendment necessitated any new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***


Claims 1 and 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 6533225 to Berges et al. in view of United States Patent No. 5542175 to Tsai.

The patent to Berges discloses a device having a bin (21) having one closed end and open end and further having a top wall with a structural rib (24a), a bottom wall and two side walls having a mounting tab (27) located thereon, the tab having a bore therein, the rear end having a spacer stanchions (See Below) located thereon, the bin having an exterior dimension allowing mounting within an instrument panel opening designed for a larger than standard sized radio and further having interior dimension allowing mounting of a standard sized radio directly therein.



The patent to Berges et al. does not disclose a pair of spacer stanchions on the rear wall.

The patent to Tsai teaches a mounting device having a closed rear wall which has a pair of spacer stanchions thereon, the pair used as a design choice to space the rear wall from the desired device.



Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used a pair of spacer stanchions in order to have the rear wall spaced as desired by a design choice.

Claims 2-7 and 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 6533225 to Berges et al. in view of United States Patent No. 5542175 to Tsai and further in view of United States Patent No. 6330121 to Kobayashi et al.

Berges et al. and Tsai disclose applicant's basic inventive concept, all the elements which are shown above with the exception that it does not show the specific materials of plastic, polypropylene, thermoplastic olefins, butadiene and polycarbonate.

Kobayashi et al. teaches a mounting device for a optical device which is made of plastic, polypropylene, thermoplastic olefins, butadiene or polycarbonate (See Col.12 lines 24-29 for material), the materials used for their resilient and strength properties. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art from the teachings of Kobayashi et al. to have made the device of these materials in order to have a resilient and strong device.

Claims 8, 9, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 6533225 to Berges et al. in view of United

States Patent No. 5542175 to Tsai and further in view of United States Patent Publication No. 2005/0231954 to Czech.

Berges et al. and Tsai disclose applicant's basic inventive concept, all the elements which are shown above with the exception that it does not show the specific materials of aluminum and metal.

Czech teaches a mounting device for a optical device which is made of aluminum metal (See page 2, paragraph 0023 for material), the material used for their strength properties. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art from the teachings of Czech to have made the device of these materials in order to have a strong device.

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Conclusion***

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 3632

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Amy J. Sterling at telephone number 571-272-6823. The fax machine number for the Technology center is 571-273-8300 (formal amendments) or 571-273-6823 (informal communications only). Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist at 571-272-3600.

Amy J. Sterling  
Primary Examiner  
4/12/07

*Amy J. Sterling*  
AMY J. STERLING  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600